UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/553,312	10/14/2005	Georg Markowz	1-17149	1724
	7590 08/25/200 & MELHORN, LLC	8	EXAMINER	
FOUR SEAGATE - EIGHTH FLOOR TOLEDO, OH 43604			LANGEL, WAYNE A	
TOLEDO, OH	43004		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Comment	10/553,312	MARKOWZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Wayne Langel	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
·—		secution as to the merits is				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
dicocca in accordance with the practice and in	x parte gadyle, 1000 C.D. 11, 10					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 24-42 is/are pending in the application	Claim(s) <u>24-42</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>24-42</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 October 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
·— ·— ·—	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ol>					
<del>_</del> ·	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	or the certified copies flot receive	u.				
Attacherant						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)	A) Intomico Comercia	(PTO 412)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) 🔲 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) 📙 Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-10-06</u> .						

Art Unit: 1793

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terms such as "advantageously smaller" and "ideally smaller" render the scope of the claims vague and indefinite. In claim 42, "at least one of..." is improper Markush terminology.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 24-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Breuer et al '072. Breuer et al '072. Breuer et al disclose a microreactor comprising reaction chambers and heat conveyance chambers, with spacer elements in all the chambers and catalyst material applied to the inside walls of the reaction chambers. (See col. 5, line 10 to col. 8, line 21; Fig. 5 and the description thereof.) The difference between the apparatus disclosed by Breuer et al '072, and that recited in applicants' claims, is that Breuer et al '072 does not specifically disclose that the width-height ratio between adjacent spacer elements should be between 10 and 800. It would be obvious to provide a width-height ratio between adjacent spacer elements of between 10 and

800 in the apparatus of Breuer et al '072, since it would be within the skill of one of ordinary skill in the art to determine a suitable or optimum width height ratio in the apparatus. It would be expected that the width-height ratio would be a result-effective variable in the apparatus.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Wayne Langel whose telephone number is 571-272-1353. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8 am - 3:30 pm Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/553,312

Art Unit: 1793

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793

Page 4

Application Number

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination
10/553,312	MARKOWZ ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
   Wayne Langel	1793

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office Part of Paper No. 20080821